

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,821	10/23/2003	Kee-Hung Lai	P69237US0	5436
7:	590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON I		BARBEE, MANUEL L		
400 SEVENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		2857	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	<u>H'r</u> t)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,			
Office Action Comment	10/690,821	LAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manuel L. Barbee	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	January 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	for alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, ,		1.40474)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
,	LXanimer. Note the attach	onice Action of format 10-	102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ata hawa hasa sansiyad					
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		• •	ae			
application from the International Bure	<u> </u>	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	t received.				
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
S. Datest and Trademark Office						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Structure of the instrument for evaluating supply chain performance is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The specification, from page 7, line 18 - page 8. line 22. discusses domain specification and instrument development, including a statement that service effectiveness for shippers (SES) and service effectiveness for consignees (SEC) may be operationalized by modifying the SERVQUAL instrument developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1988) (page 6, lines 19-25). The disclosure provides no further information with regard to the SERVQUAL instrument or the reference to Parasuraman et al. The disclosure states that operational efficiency for transport logistics service providers (OE) is operationalized by five broad categories of logistics performance and is not related any instrument (page 8, lines 6, 7). The disclosure does not include any disclosure for how any instrument would relate measurement items for SES, SEC or OE to the supply chain performance.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,821 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

4. Claims 1-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claims 1-9, 11 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: Structure of the instrument for evaluating supply chain performance.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 20 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that the claims properly comply with the enablement requirement and further states that the instrument may be in the form of a questionnaire, which has been fully described in the specification and the drawings. Applicant refers to the specification on pages 8 through 16 to show that the questionnaire had been validated and proved to be an enabling structure. However, while a questionnaire is shown to be validated, the specification does not show how responses to the questionnaire are related to the measured items or how the measured items are used to determine supply chain performance. One of ordinary skill would not be able to determine how to set up a questionnaire and interpret responses in order to determine values for the measured items listed in the claims or how to use the measured items to evaluate supply chain performance. Since these essential elements are not disclosed the claims are incomplete and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,821 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel L. Barbee whose telephone number is 571-272-2212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,821

Art Unit: 2857

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mlb February 27, 2006

> MARC S. HOFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EMANAGED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 20. 7.